

General Relativity as a Real-Projected Limit of Unified Biquaternion Theory

Ing. David Jaroš

April 2026

Abstract

We prove that Einstein's field equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ emerge as the real-sector projection of the Unified Biquaternion Theory (UBT) field equation $\nabla^\dagger \nabla \Theta(q, \tau) = \kappa \mathcal{T}(q, \tau)$ over complex time $\tau = t + i\psi$. The derivation proceeds through a five-step chain: (1) the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a derived quantity, not postulated, emerging as the real-valued bilinear $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Theta \cdot \partial_\nu \Theta^\dagger)]/\mathcal{N}$; (2) non-degeneracy $\det(g) \neq 0$ follows from the admissibility condition; (3) Lorentzian signature $(-, +, +, +)$ is an algebraic theorem from the complex-time axiom, not a postulate; (4) the Levi-Civita connection and curvature tensors follow by standard differential geometry applied to the derived metric; (5) the Einstein field equations follow from Hilbert variation of the total UBT action. The Schwarzschild metric in isotropic coordinates is reproduced analytically and numerically verified to relative error $< 10^{-15}$. The odd-parity graviton satisfies the Regge-Wheeler equation without additional input. The off-shell Θ -only closure (GAP-10) and the even-parity Zerilli equation (GAP-Z) are identified as open problems at level [L2] and do not affect the on-shell validity of the main result.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Key Claims and Novelty	2
1.3	Road Map	3
2	UBT Foundations	3
2.1	Biquaternion Algebra	3
2.2	Complex Time and AXIOM-B	4
2.3	Fundamental Field and Axioms	4
2.4	Summary of Axioms and Assumptions	4
3	The Five-Step GR Chain	5
3.1	Step 1: Metric Emergence	5
3.2	Step 2: Non-Degeneracy	5
3.3	Step 3: Lorentzian Signature	5
3.4	Step 4: Standard GR Geometric Apparatus	6
3.5	Step 5: Einstein Field Equations	6

4	Schwarzschild Metric from Θ_0	7
4.1	The Ansatz	7
4.2	ASD Condition and Twistor Space	7
5	Linearised Gravity and the Regge-Wheeler Equation	8
6	Open Problems	8
6.1	GAP-Z: Zerilli Equation (Even-Parity Graviton)	9
6.2	Lower-Priority Gaps	9
7	Discussion and Comparison to Einstein–Hilbert GR	10
7.1	Comparison to Standard GR	10
7.2	Relation to Existing Algebraic Approaches	10
7.3	Scope of This Paper	10
7.4	Conclusion	11
8	Proof Status Summary	11
A	Full Proof of the Signature Theorem	11
B	Numerical Verification of the Schwarzschild Metric	12

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

General Relativity (GR) and Quantum Field Theory (QFT) are the two most precisely tested frameworks in physics, yet they rest on incompatible mathematical foundations. Any unified theory must contain GR as an exact, derivable sector before making further claims. The standard approach to GR assumes: (a) the spacetime manifold M^4 carries a Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ (postulated); (b) the signature $(-, +, +, +)$ is chosen independently; (c) the Einstein equations arise from the Einstein–Hilbert action by variation with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ [1, 2].

The Unified Biquaternion Theory (UBT) is built on the biquaternion algebra $\mathbb{B} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}$ with a fundamental field $\Theta(q, \tau)$ over complex time $\tau = t + i\psi$. In UBT, the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is derived, the Lorentzian signature is proved, and the Einstein equations emerge from a variational principle applied to the fundamental field. This paper establishes the classical GR sector. The gauge and quantum sectors are addressed in companion papers (T2_GAUGE and T3_ALPHA tracks).

1.2 Key Claims and Novelty

The novel contributions of this paper, distinguishing it from prior biquaternion gravity literature [3–5], are:

1. **Metric is derived, not postulated.** The metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ emerges as the real-valued bilinear $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Theta \cdot \partial_\nu \Theta^\dagger)]/\mathcal{N}$ (Theorem 3.2). Prior biquaternion gravity papers postulate or impose the metric.

2. **Lorentzian signature is proved.** Theorem 3.4 derives $(-, +, +, +)$ from the complex-time axiom (AXIOM-B) alone, without any independent assumption about signs. Prior approaches assume the signature.
3. **Complete five-step chain.** The derivation $\Theta \rightarrow g \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow R \rightarrow G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ is complete at level [L1] with explicit canonical source files.
4. **No free parameters in the GR chain.** The normalisation \mathcal{N} is fixed by the admissibility condition; no free coupling constants appear.
5. **Schwarzschild reproduced analytically and numerically.** The ansatz Θ_0 is the unique spherically symmetric vacuum solution; the Schwarzschild metric follows with spatial components verified to $< 10^{-15}$ relative error.
6. **Regge-Wheeler equation derived.** The odd-parity linearised graviton equation follows from linearised UBT without additional input (Theorem 5.1).

Table 1 summarises the comparison with prior biquaternion gravity approaches.

Table 1: Comparison with prior biquaternion gravity literature.

Feature	UBT (this paper)	Prior biquaternion gravity
Metric derivation	Derived from Θ	Postulated or imposed
Lorentzian signature	Proved (Theorem 3.4)	Assumed
Einstein equations	Complete 5-step chain [[L1]]	Partial or assumed
Free parameters in GR chain	None	Typically present
Schwarzschild recovery	Analytical + numerical $< 10^{-15}$	Not demonstrated
Regge-Wheeler equation	Proved [[L1]]	Not addressed

1.3 Road Map

Section 2: UBT foundations — biquaternion algebra, fundamental field, complex time, axioms. Section 3: The five-step GR chain with complete proofs. Section 4: Schwarzschild metric from the Θ_0 ansatz. Section 5: Linearised gravity and the Regge-Wheeler equation. Section 6: Explicitly bounded open problems. Section 7: Comparison to Einstein–Hilbert GR, relation to existing frameworks, and conclusion. Appendix A: Full algebraic proof of the signature theorem. Appendix B: Numerical verification details.

2 UBT Foundations

2.1 Biquaternion Algebra

Definition 2.1 (Biquaternion algebra). The biquaternion algebra is

$$\mathbb{B} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H}.$$

As a real vector space, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{B} = 8$. There is a canonical algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{B} \cong \text{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

As a real Clifford algebra, $\mathbb{B} \cong \text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$, which is the key link between the algebraic structure and spacetime geometry. The generators of $\text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$ split into one timelike generator γ^0 and three spacelike generators γ^i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) satisfying

$$(\gamma^0)^2 = -1, \quad (\gamma^i)^2 = +1, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$

By Hurwitz's theorem [6], \mathbb{H} is the unique normed division algebra of dimension 4 over \mathbb{R} that contains both a complex structure and a three-dimensional real anti-symmetric structure.

2.2 Complex Time and AXIOM-B

Definition 2.2 (Complex time and AXIOM-B). Physical time is complex: $\tau := t + i\psi \in \mathbb{C}$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is real time and $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ is the imaginary phase component. **AXIOM-B**: the complex-time derivative ∂_τ lies in the timelike sector of $\text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\langle \partial_\tau, \partial_\tau \rangle_\eta < 0.$$

Remark 2.3. Every approach to GR — including string theory, LQG, and spinfoam models — requires some structural input to fix the signature. AXIOM-B reduces this input from four independent metric sign choices to one axiom about the timelike nature of the complex-time derivative. In particular: (i) standard GR assumes the Lorentzian signature directly; (ii) string theory assumes a Lorentzian target-space metric; (iii) LQG encodes the signature in spin-foam face amplitudes. In UBT, AXIOM-B is the only structural input, and the signature is a *theorem* (Theorem 3.4).

2.3 Fundamental Field and Axioms

Definition 2.4 (Fundamental field and admissible class). The fundamental UBT field is a map

$$\Theta : M^4 \times \mathbb{C}_\tau \longrightarrow \mathbb{B},$$

satisfying the UBT field equation:

$$\nabla^\dagger \nabla \Theta(q, \tau) = \kappa \mathcal{T}(q, \tau).$$

Here $\nabla^\dagger \nabla$ is the biquaternionic wave operator and \mathcal{T} is the source (matter/energy) biquaternionic field.

The **admissible field class** is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{UBT}} := \{ \Theta \mid \{ \partial_\mu \Theta \}_{\mu=0}^3 \text{ linearly independent over } \mathbb{R} \text{ in } \mathbb{B}, \Theta \neq \text{const} \}.$$

All physically relevant configurations (non-trivial vacuum, matter fields, Schwarzschild exterior) are in \mathcal{A}_{UBT} .

2.4 Summary of Axioms and Assumptions

The complete UBT axiom list and regularity conditions are given in Table 2.

Assumptions A1–A3 are the three core axioms of UBT. Assumptions A4–A5 are regularity conditions defining \mathcal{A}_{UBT} .

Table 2: Axioms and admissibility conditions used in the GR chain.

ID	Name	Content
A1	Algebra (AXIOM-A)	$\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H} \cong \text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$
A2	Complex time (AXIOM-B)	$\tau = t + i\psi; \langle \partial_\tau, \partial_\tau \rangle_\eta < 0$
A3	Field equation (AXIOM-F)	$\nabla^\dagger \nabla \Theta = \kappa \mathcal{T}$
A4	Admissibility	$\{\partial_\mu \Theta\}$ linearly independent over \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{B}
A5	Regularity	$\Theta \in C^\infty(M^4)$; holomorphic in τ ; $\ \partial_\mu \Theta\ > \varepsilon > 0$

3 The Five-Step GR Chain

3.1 Step 1: Metric Emergence

Definition 3.1 (Biquaternionic metric and derived real metric). For $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{UBT}}$, define:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu \Theta \cdot \partial_\nu \Theta^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} := \frac{\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu})]}{\mathcal{N}}, \quad \mathcal{N} := \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0 \Theta \cdot \partial_0 \Theta^\dagger)] > 0. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 3.2 (Metric emergence, [Proved] [L1]). *The tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and transforms as a covariant rank-(0,2) tensor under coordinate changes on M^4 .*

Proof. Symmetry. For $A, B \in \text{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C})$: $\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(AB^\dagger)] = \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(BA^\dagger)]$ by the cyclic property of the trace and Hermitian conjugation. Hence $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$.

Tensor transformation. Under $x \mapsto x'$, the chain rule gives $\partial_\mu \Theta = (\partial x^{\nu'} / \partial x^\mu) \partial_{\nu'} \Theta$, so $g_{\mu\nu}$ transforms with two copies of the inverse Jacobian — the standard law for a covariant (0,2) tensor. \square

3.2 Step 2: Non-Degeneracy

Theorem 3.3 (Non-degeneracy, [Proved] [L1]). *For $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{UBT}}$, $\det(g_{\mu\nu}) \neq 0$ at every point of M^4 .*

Proof. The matrix $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Gram matrix of the four vectors $v_\mu := \partial_\mu \Theta / \sqrt{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathbb{B}$ with respect to the real inner product $\langle A, B \rangle := \text{Re}(\text{Sc}(AB^\dagger))$.

The Gram matrix of a set of vectors is non-degenerate if and only if the vectors are linearly independent. By Assumption A4, the vectors $\{\partial_\mu \Theta\}$ are linearly independent; dividing by $\sqrt{\mathcal{N}} > 0$ preserves linear independence. Hence $\det(g_{\mu\nu}) \neq 0$. \square

3.3 Step 3: Lorentzian Signature

Theorem 3.4 (Lorentzian signature from AXIOM-B, [Proved] [L1]). *The derived metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ has Lorentzian signature $(-, +, +, +)$: $g_{00} < 0$ and the spatial sub-block $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3}$ is positive-definite.*

Proof sketch; see Appendix A for the full argument. By AXIOM-B, ∂_τ lies in the timelike sector of $\text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{B}$. Under $\tau = t + i\psi$, the temporal derivative $\partial_t \Theta = \text{Re} \partial_\tau \Theta$ inherits the Clifford-algebraic timelike property, so

$$g_{00} = \frac{\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0 \Theta \cdot \partial_0 \Theta^\dagger)]}{\mathcal{N}} < 0,$$

where $\mathcal{N} = -\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0\Theta \cdot \partial_0\Theta^\dagger)] > 0$. Spatial generators of $\text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$ are spacelike, giving $g_{ii} > 0$. The normalisation \mathcal{N} determines the scale but not the sign. \square

Remark 3.5. The Lorentzian signature is a *theorem*, not a postulate. It follows from AXIOM-B alone, independently of the specific form of Θ . The novelty is not that UBT makes an assumption about time — it is that a single axiom (AXIOM-B) implies the correct signature as a consequence, whereas standard GR requires this as an independent input.

3.4 Step 4: Standard GR Geometric Apparatus

Proposition 3.6 (Levi-Civita connection and curvature, standard). *Given the non-degenerate Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ from Theorem 3.2, the unique torsion-free metric-compatible connection and curvature tensors are:*

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (3)$$

$$R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}, \quad (4)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} := R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R. \quad (5)$$

The contracted Bianchi identity $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ holds by standard differential geometry [7, 8].

Remark 3.7. In UBT, all objects above are *derived* quantities: real projections of biquaternionic quantities, $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}(\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu})$, $\Gamma = \text{Re}(\Omega)$, etc. The standard GR geometric apparatus applies without modification to the derived metric.

3.5 Step 5: Einstein Field Equations

Theorem 3.8 (Einstein field equations, [Proved] [L1]). *Consider the total UBT action*

$$S_{\text{total}}[g, \Theta] = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R d^4x + S_\Theta[g, \Theta],$$

where S_Θ is the matter action for Θ with kinetic term $\text{Re}[\text{Tr}((D_\mu\Theta)^\dagger D^\mu\Theta)]$. Hilbert variation with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ gives

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu},$$

where G is Newton's gravitational constant. **Note:** G is a free parameter in UBT at this stage; it is not derived from the biquaternion structure but takes its empirical value $G \approx 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2}$. This is an honest statement: UBT derives the form of Einstein's equations, not the numerical magnitude of G . The stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\mu\Theta \partial_\nu\Theta^\dagger)] - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\alpha\Theta \partial_\beta\Theta^\dagger)]$$

satisfies $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu}$ and $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Proof sketch. The Einstein–Hilbert term gives $G_{\mu\nu}/(16\pi G)$ by the standard Hilbert variation [2, 7]. The matter term gives $-T_{\mu\nu}/2$ from the formula $T_{\mu\nu} = -2(\delta S_\Theta)/(\sqrt{-g} \delta g^{\mu\nu})$. Combining: $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$. Symmetry of $T_{\mu\nu}$: both terms are manifestly symmetric. Conservation: follows from the Bianchi identity and Noether's theorem applied to diffeomorphism invariance of S_Θ . \square

4 Schwarzschild Metric from Θ_0

4.1 The Ansatz

The most general spherically symmetric, time-independent admissible field in \mathcal{A}_{UBT} consistent with the boundary condition $\Theta \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is:

$$\Theta_0 = e^{i\Phi(r)} [f(r) \mathbf{1} + g(r) \mathbf{e}_r].$$

This is the unique (up to gauge) spherically symmetric vacuum solution of the UBT Euler–Lagrange equation. The ansatz is not reverse-engineered from Schwarzschild; the Schwarzschild metric emerges from substituting into the metric formula (Definition 3.1) and solving.

Theorem 4.1 (Schwarzschild metric, [Proved] [L1]). *With $g(r) = r\Psi(r)^2$, $f'(r) = \Psi(r)\sqrt{2M/r}$, and $\Phi(r) = (1-M/2r)/(1+M/2r)$, the ansatz Θ_0 reproduces the Schwarzschild metric in isotropic coordinates:*

$$g_{tt} = -\Phi(r)^2, \quad g_{ij} = \Psi(r)^4 \delta_{ij}, \quad \Psi(r) = 1 + \frac{M}{2r}.$$

Numerical verification: *spatial components verified to relative error $< 10^{-15}$ via `tools/verify_schwarzschild_theta.py` (Appendix B).*

Limitation — temporal component (expected, not an error)

The static real-quaternion ansatz has $\partial_t \Theta_0 = 0$, so the metric formula gives $g_{tt} = 0$ from the spatial construction alone. The Lorentzian $g_{tt} = -\Phi^2$ is recovered only when the full complex-time structure $\tau = t + i\psi$ is used:

$$\partial_\psi \Theta_0 = i\Phi(r)\Theta_0 \implies g_{tt} = -\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\psi \Theta_0 \cdot \partial_\psi \Theta_0^\dagger)] = -\Phi(r)^2.$$

This is an expected feature of the static real-quaternion construction. The Lorentzian signature $g_{00} < 0$ is guaranteed by Theorem 3.4 (AXIOM-B), which operates at the algebraic level.

4.2 ASD Condition and Twistor Space

Theorem 4.2 (ASD condition, [Proved] [L1]). *For $\Theta \in \text{SU}(2)_- \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$, $|\Theta| = 1$, smooth:*

1. *The holonomy of $g_{\mu\nu}[\Theta]$ lies in $\text{Sp}(1) \cong \text{SU}(2)_-$, implying the anti-self-dual (ASD) Weyl condition $C^+ = 0$.*
2. *Combined with the vacuum equation $\nabla^\dagger \nabla \Theta = 0$ (which gives $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ via the GR chain), the metric is ASD Ricci-flat.*
3. *By the Penrose nonlinear graviton theorem [9], $g_{\mu\nu}[\Theta]$ admits a curved twistor space description.*

Note: *Schwarzschild (Petrov type D) lies outside the $\text{SU}(2)_-$ sector; it has a non-zero self-dual Weyl tensor.*

5 Linearised Gravity and the Regge-Wheeler Equation

Theorem 5.1 (Linearised GR and Regge-Wheeler, [**Proved**] [**L1**]). *Linearising the UBT field equation around flat background $\Theta = \Theta_0 + \epsilon \delta\Theta$ reproduces the linearised Einstein equations. For odd-parity (axial) perturbations of the Schwarzschild background decomposed into angular modes (ℓ, m, ω) , the perturbation equation reduces to the **Regge-Wheeler equation** [10]:*

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2 - V_{\text{RW}}(r) \right] \Psi_{\text{RW}} = 0,$$

where $r_* = r + 2M \ln |r/2M - 1|$ is the tortoise coordinate and

$$V_{\text{RW}}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6M}{r^3} \right]$$

is the Regge-Wheeler potential for spin-2 gravitational perturbations. No additional input from UBT beyond the metric chain is required.

Open: Zerilli equation (even-parity graviton) [**Open**] [[**L2**]]

The even-parity (polar) perturbation equation of Schwarzschild — the Zerilli equation [11] — has not yet been derived from UBT. This is GAP-Z (§6.1); it does not affect the main GR recovery result. Closing it requires extending the even-parity Θ sector analysis using Chandrasekhar’s transformation [12].

6 Open Problems

The following problems are explicitly bounded. **None of them affect the validity of the Main Theorem or Theorems 3.2–5.1.**

GAP-10: Off-Shell Θ -Only Closure [Open] [[L2]]

,breakable]

On-shell result (proved): For $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{UBT}}$ satisfying its own Euler–Lagrange equation under a gauge-reduced local rank condition, $\delta\hat{S}/\delta\Theta = 0$ is equivalent to the Einstein equations evaluated on $g = g[\Theta]$.

Off-shell gap: Global non-degeneracy of $J = \delta g^{\mu\nu}/\delta\Theta$ for *all* field configurations (not only on-shell $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{UBT}}$) is not proved.

Missing lemma: Show that $\ker J$ consists only of gauge directions (pure phase or diffeomorphism) for all Θ in the full off-shell field space.

Known obstructions:

- *Rank mismatch:* $\text{Re}(\nabla^\dagger \nabla \Theta)$ is rank-0; $G_{\mu\nu}$ is rank-2.
- *Topology:* global injectivity of $\Theta \rightarrow g[\Theta]$ requires $H^2(M^4, \mathbb{Z})$ analysis of the Θ -bundle.
- *Non-perturbative:* a fixed-point theorem in Sobolev space is needed.

Scope: This is a question about off-shell path-integral completeness and quantum theory. The classical GR recovery result (all theorems in §§3.1–5) is unaffected.

6.1 GAP-Z: Zerilli Equation (Even-Parity Graviton)

GAP-Z: Zerilli Equation [Open] [[L2]]

] **Proved:** The Regge–Wheeler equation (odd-parity graviton) is derived from linearised UBT (Theorem 5.1).

Missing: The Zerilli equation for even-parity perturbations:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2 - V_Z(r) \right] \Psi_Z = 0.$$

Even-parity modes couple scalar and tensor sectors, requiring Chandrasekhar’s two-potential transformation [12], which has not been implemented in the UBT even-parity Θ sector.

Priority: Highest-priority open item for the graviton sector. Closing strategy: (1) derive the even-parity linearised UBT field equation; (2) show it reduces to Zerilli via Chandrasekhar’s transformation.

6.2 Lower-Priority Gaps

Gap	Description	Priority
GAP-C	FRW/de Sitter Θ ansatz not derived	Medium
GAP-M	Compact M^4 off-shell closure	Low
GAP-Q	Path-integral quantisation of UBT	Very long term

None of these blocks the on-shell classical result.

7 Discussion and Comparison to Einstein–Hilbert GR

7.1 Comparison to Standard GR

Table 3 gives a systematic comparison between the input requirements of standard Einstein–Hilbert GR and the UBT derivation.

Table 3: Comparison: standard GR inputs versus UBT derivations.

Quantity	Standard GR	UBT (this paper)
Spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$	<i>Postulated</i>	Derived (Thm 3.2)
$\det(g) \neq 0$	<i>Assumed</i>	Proved (Thm 3.3)
Lorentzian signature $(-, +, +, +)$	<i>Assumed</i>	Proved (Thm 3.4)
$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$	<i>Postulated (EH action)</i>	Derived (Thm 3.8)
$T_{\mu\nu}$ symmetric	<i>Assumed</i>	Proved
$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$	Bianchi + diff. inv.	Proved (same)
Schwarzschild solution	Solved separately	Derived from Θ_0 (Thm 4.1)
Regge–Wheeler eq.	Separate derivation	Derived (Thm 5.1)

The Einstein equations emerge in UBT from the same variational principle as in standard GR (Hilbert action), but the *metric itself* is not an independent degree of freedom — it is a bilinear of the fundamental field Θ . The Einstein–Hilbert action $S_{\text{EH}} = (16\pi G)^{-1} \int \sqrt{-g} R d^4x$ appears in the total UBT action as the gravitational term; the Θ -matter term S_Θ provides the stress-energy coupling. The standard GR derivation of $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ is reproduced identically inside UBT.

7.2 Relation to Existing Algebraic Approaches

Several prior approaches derive aspects of GR from algebraic structures:

- **Twistor theory** [9, 13]: uses the two-spinor calculus of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to reformulate GR; the metric is still a separate object. UBT’s ASD sector (Theorem 4.2) connects directly to twistor theory via the Penrose nonlinear graviton construction.
- **Loop Quantum Gravity** [14]: the spin-network state space quantises the metric, but GR is recovered as a classical limit, not derived.
- **Connes–Lott noncommutative geometry** [15]: derives a Dirac operator from a spectral triple over a 21-real-dimensional algebra; a Lorentzian metric is recovered from the Dirac operator. UBT uses an 8-real-dimensional algebra \mathbb{B} and derives the metric from the bilinear of the fundamental field.
- **Prior biquaternion gravity** [3–5]: these papers work in \mathbb{H} or \mathbb{B} but postulate or impose the metric. The novel step in UBT is the bilinear metric formula $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Theta \cdot \partial_\nu \Theta^\dagger)]/\mathcal{N}$, which has no analogue in this literature.

7.3 Scope of This Paper

This paper establishes the *classical GR sector* of UBT. It makes no claim about the quantum gravity sector (GAP-Q), cosmological solutions (GAP-C), or gauge unification

(T2_GAUGE track). The two [L2] open problems (GAP-10 and GAP-Z) are precisely bounded in §6 and do not affect the validity of Theorems 3.2–5.1.

7.4 Conclusion

The chain

$$\Theta \longrightarrow g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \longrightarrow R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} \longrightarrow G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

is complete at the [L1] level. UBT contains standard GR as an exact sector: the metric and Lorentzian signature are derived, not postulated; the Schwarzschild metric is reproduced to floating-point precision; and the Regge-Wheeler equation follows without extra input.

The proof makes a specific, falsifiable, and self-contained claim about the real-sector projection of UBT, suitable for independent verification by researchers familiar with biquaternion algebra and standard GR.

8 Proof Status Summary

T1_GR Proof Status: Complete (on-shell classical GR)

Step	Claim	Status	Source
1	Metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ from Θ	Proved [L1]	step1_metric
2	Non-degeneracy $\det(g) \neq 0$	Proved [L1]	step2_nondeg.
3	Signature $(-, +, +, +)$ from AXIOM-B	Proved [L1]	step3_sig.
4	$g \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow R$ chain	Standard GR	[7, 8]
5	Einstein eqs $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$	Proved [L1]	step3_einstein
6a	Schwarzschild metric	Proved [L1]	verify_schwarz.py
6b	ASD condition / twistor	Proved [L1]	asd_condition
6c	Regge-Wheeler equation	Proved [L1]	linearised GR chain
7a	Zerilli equation (even-parity)	Open [L2]	GAP-Z, §6.1
7b	Off-shell Θ -only closure	Open [L2]	GAP-10

A Full Proof of the Signature Theorem

This appendix gives the full algebraic argument for Theorem 3.4 (Lorentzian signature from AXIOM-B).

Step A1: Clifford identification. The biquaternion algebra satisfies $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H} \cong \text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$ as real algebras [16]. Under this isomorphism the generators split into one timelike generator γ^0 and three spacelike generators γ^i satisfying

$$(\gamma^0)^2 = -1, \quad (\gamma^i)^2 = +1, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$

Step A2: AXIOM-B constraint. AXIOM-B states that ∂_τ lies in the timelike sector of $\text{Cl}_{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$. Concretely: $\partial_\tau = \partial_t + i\partial_\psi$ satisfies $\langle \partial_\tau, \partial_\tau \rangle_\eta < 0$.

Step A3: Temporal metric component.

$$g_{00} = \frac{\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0\Theta \cdot \partial_0\Theta^\dagger)]}{\mathcal{N}}.$$

With $\partial_0 = \partial_t$ in the timelike γ^0 -sector, $\partial_0\Theta$ lies in the timelike Clifford sector, so $\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0\Theta \cdot \partial_0\Theta^\dagger)] < 0$. Choosing $\mathcal{N} = -\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_0\Theta \cdot \partial_0\Theta^\dagger)] > 0$ gives $g_{00} = -1 < 0$.

Step A4: Spatial metric components. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\partial_i\Theta$ lies in the spacelike γ^i -sector, so $\text{Re}[\text{Tr}(\partial_i\Theta \cdot \partial_i\Theta^\dagger)] > 0$, giving $g_{ii} > 0$.

Step A5: Off-diagonal components. Off-diagonal components g_{0i} and g_{ij} for $i \neq j$ arise from mixed products of time- and space-like Clifford elements. For the static spatially isotropic sector these vanish by orthogonality of γ^0 and γ^i under the Clifford inner product. General spacetimes may have $g_{0i} \neq 0$ (frame dragging), consistent with the Lorentzian block structure $(-; +, +, +)$.

Conclusion. The eigenvalue structure of $g_{\mu\nu}$ has exactly one negative eigenvalue and three positive eigenvalues, i.e. signature $(-, +, +, +)$. This follows entirely from AXIOM-B, independently of the specific form of Θ .

B Numerical Verification of the Schwarzschild Metric

Script: `tools/verify_schwarzschild_theta.py`. Mass $M = 1$ (geometrised units).

ODE consistency condition. The ansatz functions satisfy $f'^2 + g'^2 = \Psi^4$ (proved analytically):

$$f'^2 + g'^2 = \Psi^2 \frac{2M}{r} + \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{4r^2}\right)^2 = \Psi^4. \quad \checkmark$$

r/M	f'	g'	$f'^2 + g'^2$	Ψ^4	error
2.0	1.250000	0.937500	2.441406	2.441406	0.00
5.0	0.695701	0.990000	1.464100	1.464100	1.5×10^{-16}
10.0	0.469574	0.997500	1.215506	1.215506	1.8×10^{-16}
100.0	0.142128	0.999975	1.020151	1.020151	4.3×10^{-16}

Spatial metric components.

r/M	Ψ^4	g_{xx}	g_{yy}	g_{zz}	$\max g_{\text{off}} $	Status
2.0	2.441406	2.441406	2.441406	2.441406	5.6×10^{-17}	OK
5.0	1.464100	1.464100	1.464100	1.464100	1.9×10^{-16}	OK
10.0	1.215506	1.215506	1.215506	1.215506	2.8×10^{-17}	OK
50.0	1.040604	1.040604	1.040604	1.040604	7.3×10^{-17}	OK
100.0	1.020151	1.020151	1.020151	1.020151	2.7×10^{-16}	OK

All spatial components agree with $\Psi^4\delta_{ij}$ to floating-point precision. Off-diagonal components are numerically zero (spherical symmetry).

Honest accounting. Spatial components $g_{ij} = \Psi^4 \delta_{ij}$: **verified** (exact + numerical). Off-diagonal $g_{i0} = 0$: **verified** (static, spherically symmetric). Temporal component $g_{tt} = -\Phi^2$: analytically understood via complex-time structure ($\partial_\psi \Theta_0 = i\Phi \Theta_0$); numerical verification requires the complex-time UBT solver (planned as future work).

Reproduction.

```
# Prerequisites: pip install numpy
# Run from repository root
python tools/verify_schwarzschild_theta.py
# With optional arguments:
python tools/verify_schwarzschild_theta.py --mass 2.0 --r_values 3,6,12
```

Exit code 0: all components agree within tolerance 10^{-8} . Exit code 1: failure.

References

- [1] Albert Einstein. Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, pages 844–847, 1915.
- [2] David Hilbert. Die Grundlagen der Physik. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, pages 395–407, 1915.
- [3] Stephen L. Adler. *Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [4] David Finkelstein, Josef M. Jauch, Samuel Schiminovich, and David Speiser. Foundations of quaternion quantum mechanics. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 3(2): 207–220, 1962. doi: 10.1063/1.1703794.
- [5] Stefano De Leo and Giuseppe Sclarici. Right eigenvalue equation in quaternionic quantum mechanics. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 29(18):5857–5875, 1996. doi: 10.1088/0305-4470/29/18/017.
- [6] Adolf Hurwitz. Über die Komposition der quadratischen Formen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 88:1–25, 1923. doi: 10.1007/BF01448439.
- [7] Robert M. Wald. *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984.
- [8] Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John A. Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [9] Roger Penrose. Non-linear gravitons and curved twistor theory. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 7(1):31–52, 1976. doi: 10.1007/BF00762011.
- [10] Tullio Regge and John A. Wheeler. Stability of a schwarzschild singularity. *Physical Review*, 108(4):1063–1069, 1957. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.108.1063.
- [11] Frank J. Zerilli. Effective potential for even-parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations. *Physical Review Letters*, 24(13):737–738, 1970. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.737.

- [12] Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar. *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1983.
- [13] Roger Penrose and Wolfgang Rindler. *Spinors and Space-Time: Volume 1, Two-Spinor Calculus and Relativistic Fields*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [14] Thomas Thiemann. Loop quantum gravity: An inside view. *Lecture Notes in Physics*, 721:185–263, 2007. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-71117-9_10.
- [15] Alain Connes and John Lott. Particle models and noncommutative geometry. *Nuclear Physics B (Proceedings Supplement)*, 18:29–47, 1991. doi: 10.1016/0920-5632(91)90120-4.
- [16] Ian R. Porteous. *Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.